Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and bond distances and angles involving non-H atoms have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: AB1226). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Akselrud, L. G., Grin, Y. N., Zavalii, P. Y., Pecharsky, V. K. & Fundamuntsky, V. S. (1989). XII European Crystallographic Meeting, Moscow. Collected Abstracts, 3, 155-156.
- Basson, S. S., Leipoldt, J. G., Purcell, W. & Schoeman, J. B. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 2000–2001.
- Basson, S. S., Leipoldt, J. G., Roodt, A. & Venter, J. A. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 128, 31-37.
- Collman, J. P. & MacLaury, M. R. (1974). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 96, 3019-3020.
- Cromer, D. T. & Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- Cross, R. J. (1985). Chem. Soc. Rev. 14, 197-223.
- Dickson, R. S. (1985). In Homogeneous Catalysis with Compounds of Rhodium and Iridium. Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Steyn, G. J. J., Roodt, A. & Leipoldt, J. G. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 3477–3481.
- Theron, M., Basson, S. S. & Purcell, W. (1995). Acta Cryst. C51, 1750-1752.

Comment

Ir^I and Rh^I complexes are used increasingly as catalysts in a number of industrial processes. Examples include the use of [Ir(o-phen)(cod)Cl] (o-phen = 1,10phenanthroline and cod = 1.5-cyclooctadiene) in the conversion of methanol to acetic acid, and the use of $[Ir(cod)(PCy_3)(py)]PF_6$ as a catalyst for the hydrogenation of alkenes and alkynes (Dickson, 1985). More often than not, the oxidative addition of a specific substrate, for example H₂ or methyl halides, forms an integral part of these catalytic cycles. Four-coordinate complexes, especially square-planar Ir^I and Rh^I complexes, are ideally suited for mechanistic studies of oxidative addition reactions with iodomethane (Basson, Leipoldt, Purcell & Schoeman, 1989; Stevn, Roodt & Leipoldt, 1992). (1,5-Cyclooctadiene)(N-hydroxy-4methoxy-N-methylthiobenzamido-O,S)iridium(I), the title compound (1), was prepared as a starting material for the high-pressure kinetic study of the oxidative addition reactions between (1) and iodomethane (Theron, Purcell & Basson, 1995).



Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1750-1752

$(\eta^4-1,5-Cyclooctadiene)(N-hydroxy-4-methoxy-N-methylthiobenzamido-<math>O,S$)-iridium(I)

Melanie Theron, Stephen S. Basson* and Walter Purcell*

Department of Chemistry, University of the Orange Free State, Bloemfontein 9300, South Africa

(Received 22 August 1994; accepted 22 February 1995)

Abstract

The average olefinic carbon bond length of 1.52 (2) Å in the cyclooctadiene ligand of $[Ir(C_9H_{10}NO_2S)(C_8H_{12})]$ is surprisingly long for an olefinic bond (1.34–1.43 Å) and corresponds more closely to an aliphatic carbon bond length (1.54 Å) [Henrici-Olivé & Olivé (1977). *Coordination and Catalysis*, pp. 110–114; *International Tables* for X-ray Crystallography, 1992, Vol. C, Table 9.5.1.1]. The Ir—S and Ir—O bond distances of 2.279 (3) and 2.030 (7) Å, respectively, are normal for this kind of complex [Botha, Basson & Leipoldt (1987). *Inorg. Chim. Acta*, **126**, 25–28].

If one considers the coordination plane formed by the centres of the double bonds of the cod ligand and the S and O atoms of the bidentate ligand, Ir has a square-planar environment [with a deviation from the least-squares plane of 0.01(3) Å]. The olefinic carbon bonds in the cod ligand of the title compound are significantly longer than the corresponding bonds in [Ir(acac)(cod)(CH₃)(I)] (Basson, Leipoldt, Purcell & Schoeman, 1989) and $[Ir(C = CC_6H_5)(\eta^4 C_8H_{12}$ {P(C_6H_{11})} (Fernández *et al.*, 1989). The aliphatic carbon bonds C(11)-C(12) and C(15)-C(16) seem short compared to those in other cod structures, but the difference is not significant as there is a relatively large experimental error associated with these bond distances. Similarly, the Ir-C bond *trans* to the S atom is longer than the Ir-C bond trans to the O atom, which



Fig. 1. A perspective view of $[Ir(C_9H_{10}NO_2S)(C_8H_{12})]$ with the atomlabelling scheme. The displacement ellipsoids are drawn at the 30% probability level.

is to be expected as a result of the larger trans influence of S compared to O (Botha, Basson & Leipoldt, 1987), but the large experimental errors in the bond distances again mean that the difference is not significant.

Experimental

The title complex was crystallized from a saturated acetone solution. The crystal density D_m was measured by flotation in Nal.

> Mo $K\alpha$ radiation $\lambda = 0.71073 \text{ Å}$

Cell parameters from 24 reflections $\theta = 16 - 17^{\circ}$ $\mu = 7.94 \text{ mm}^{-1}$ T = 298 KPrismatic

 $0.55 \times 0.25 \times 0.20$ mm

Yellow

Crystal data

$[Ir(C_9H_{10}NO_2S)(C_8H_{12})]$
$M_r = 496.6$
Monoclinic
$P2_{1}/c$
a = 12.811 (3) Å
b = 10.122(1) Å
c = 13.176(5) Å
$\beta = 95.37(3)^{\circ}$
V = 1701.1 (8) Å ³
Z = 4
$D_x = 1.94 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
$D_m = 1.90 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$

Data collection

2 and concorrent	
Enraf-Nonius CAD-4	2793 independent reflections
diffractometer	2517 observed reflections
$\theta/2\theta$ scans	$[I > 3\sigma(I)]$
Absorption correction:	$R_{\rm int} = 0.0174$
refined from ΔF (Ak-	$\theta_{\rm max} = 25^{\circ}$
selrud, Grin, Zavalii,	$h = -15 \rightarrow 15$
Pecharsky & Fun-	$k = 0 \rightarrow 12$
damuntsky, 1989)	$l = -15 \rightarrow 0$
$T_{\min} = 0.766, T_{\max} =$	3 standard reflections
1.000	frequency: 60 min
3313 measured reflections	intensity decay: 1.6%

Refinement

Refinement on F	$\Delta \rho_{\rm max} = 0.9 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
R = 0.032	$\Delta \rho_{\rm min} = -1.6 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3}$
wR = 0.032	Extinction correction: none
2517 reflections	Atomic scattering factors
206 parameters	from Cromer & Mann
Unit weights applied	(1968)
$(\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} = 0.082$	

Table 1. Fractional atomic coordinates and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters (Å²)

$U_{\text{eq}} = (1/3) \sum_i \sum_j U_{ij} a_i^* a_i^* \mathbf{a}_i . \mathbf{a}_j.$

	x	у	Ζ	U_{eq}
Ir	0.67570 (3)	-0.02024 (4)	0.13392 (3)	0.035 (2)
S	0.8073 (2)	0.0268 (3)	0.0349 (2)	0.046(1)
O(1)	0.6540 (6)	0.1786 (7)	0.1333 (6)	0.048 (2)
Ν	0.7216 (7)	0.2517 (9)	0.0804 (8)	0.047 (2)
C(1)	0.7945 (8)	0.1963 (11)	0.0325 (9)	0.042 (2)
C(2)	0.8654 (8)	0.2745 (11)	-0.0243 (8)	0.042 (2)
C(3)	0.8297 (9)	0.3497 (11)	-0.1071 (9)	0.050 (3)
C(4)	0.8984 (9)	0.4165 (12)	-0.1638 (9)	0.051 (3)
C(5)	1.0039 (9)	0.4093 (11)	-0.1369 (9)	0.047 (3)
C(6)	1.0429 (9)	0.3346 (11)	-0.0496 (9)	0.046 (3)
C(7)	0.9730 (8)	0.2661 (11)	0.0033 (9)	0.045 (3)
O(2)	1.0800 (6)	0.4721 (9)	-0.1849 (7)	0.062 (2)

C(8)	1.0467 (13)	0.5563 (17)	-0.2701 (10)	0.082 (4)
C(9)	0.6983 (11)	0.3925 (11)	0.0894 (13)	0.069 (4)
C(10)	0.6599 (11)	-0.2241 (12)	0.0948 (11)	0.064 (4)
C(11)	0.5473 (11)	-0.2720 (16)	0.0934 (14)	0.091 (5)
C(12)	0.4737 (10)	-0.1776 (14)	0.1229 (13)	0.072 (4)
C(13)	0.5161 (9)	-0.0476 (12)	0.1674 (10)	0.053 (3)
C(14)	0.5886 (9)	-0.0409 (12)	0.2642 (9)	0.051 (3)
C(15)	0.6213 (12)	-0.1575 (15)	0.3307 (10)	0.070 (4)
C(16)	0.7085 (14)	-0.2350 (17)	0.2958 (11)	0.086 (5)
C(17)	0.7372 (11)	-0.2064 (12)	0.1892 (10)	0.056 (3)

Table 2. Selected geometric parameters (Å, °)

Ir—S	2.279 (3)	Ir—O(1)	2.030 (7)
Ir—C(10)	2.129 (12)	Ir—C(13)	2.151 (11)
Ir—C(14)	2.145 (11)	Ir—C(17)	2.141 (12)
S—C(1)	1.723 (11)	O(1)—N	1.377 (11)
N—C(1)	1.302 (14)	N—C(9)	1.462 (14)
C(1)—C(2)	1.464 (14)	C(2)—C(3)	1.37 (2)
C(2)—C(7)	1.394 (14)	C(3)—C(4)	1.38 (2)
C(4)—C(5)	1.37 (2)	C(5)—C(6)	1.43 (2)
C(5)—O(2)	1.369 (13)	C(6)—C(7)	1.37 (2)
O(2)—C(8)	1.44 (2)	C(10)—C(11)	1.52 (2)
C(10)—C(17)	1.53 (2)	C(11)—C(12)	1.42 (2)
C(12)—C(13)	1.52 (2)	C(13)—C(14)	1.51 (2)
C(14)—C(15)	1.51 (2)	C(15)—C(16)	1.47 (2)
C(16)—C(17)	1.51 (2)		
S—Ir—O(1)	84.2 (2)	C(6)—C(5)—O(2)	114.1 (10)
O(1)—Ir—C(10)	161.4 (5)	C(2)—C(7)—C(6)	120.9 (10)
O(1)—lr—C(13)	89.7 (4)	Ir—C(10)—C(11)	112.3 (9)
S—Ir—C(14)	161.5 (3)	C(11)—C(10)—C(17)	126.1 (13)
C(10)—lr—C(14)	93.1 (5)	C(11)—C(12)—C(13)	117.7 (11)
S—Ir—C(17)	96.3 (4)	Ir—C(13)—C(14)	69.3 (6)
C(10)—Ir—C(17)	41.8 (5)	Ir—C(14)—C(13)	69.7 (6)
C(14)—Ir—C(17)	81.1 (5)	C(13)-C(14)-C(15)	124.9 (11)
Ir—O(1)—N	116.2 (6)	C(15)—C(16)—C(17)	116.6 (12)
O(1)—N—C(9)	109.8 (9)	lr—C(17)—C(16)	111.7 (9)
S—C(1)—N	119.4 (8)	Ir—C(14)—C(15)	113.9 (8)
N—C(1)—C(2)	121.7 (10)	C(14)-C(15)-C(16)	114.3 (11)
C(1)—C(2)—C(7)	118.7 (10)	Ir—C(17)—C(10)	68.7 (7)
C(2)—C(3)—C(4)	121.2 (11)	C(10)—C(17)—C(16)	122.5 (13)
C(4) - C(5) - C(6)	120.0(10)		

H atoms were placed in calculated positions and refined with one overall displacement parameter. The H atoms on the sp^2 hybridized C atoms in the cyclooctadiene group were not located.

SHELXS86 (Sheldrick, 1985) was used to obtain the position of the heavy atom. SHELX76 (Sheldrick, 1976) was used to solve the structure. These programs were also used to refine the structure.

The authors thank the Foundation for Research and Development as well as the Research Fund of the University of the Orange Free State for financial support.

Lists of structure factors, anisotropic displacement parameters, H-atom coordinates and least-squares-planes data have been deposited with the IUCr (Reference: JZ1014). Copies may be obtained through The Managing Editor, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

References

- Akselrud, L. G., Grin, Y. N., Zavalii, P. Y., Pecharsky, V. K. & Fundamuntsky, V. S. (1989). XII European Crystallographic Meeting, Moscow. Collected Abstracts, 3, 155-156.
- Basson, S. S., Leipoldt, J. G., Purcell, W. & Schoeman, J. B. (1989). Acta Cryst. C45, 2000-2001.
- Botha, L. J., Basson, S. S. & Leipoldt, J. G. (1987). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 126, 25-28.

- Cromer, D. T. & Mann, J. B. (1968). Acta Cryst. A24, 321-324.
- Dickson, R. S. (1985). In Homogeneous Catalysis with Compounds of Rhodium and Iridium. Dordrecht: D. Reidel.
- Fernández, M. J., Esteruelas, M. A., Covarrubias, M., Oro, L. A., Apreda, M.-C., Foces-Foces, C. & Cano, F. H. (1989). Organometallics, 8, 1158–1162.
- Henrici-Olivé, G. & Olivé, S. (1977). Coordination and Catalysis, pp. 110-114. New York: Verlag Chemie.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1976). SHELX76. Program for Crystal Structure Determination. Univ. of Cambridge, England.
- Sheldrick, G. M. (1985). SHELXS86. Program for the Solution of Crystal Structures. Univ. of Göttingen, Germany.
- Steyn, G. J. J., Roodt, A. & Leipoldt, J. G. (1992). Inorg. Chem. 31, 3477–3481.
- Theron, M., Purcell, W. & Basson, S. S. (1995). Inorg. Chim. Acta. Submitted.

Acta Cryst. (1995). C51, 1752-1754

Piperazine Metavanadate Trihydrate

Jarmila Tyršelová, Ľubomír Kuchta and František Pavelčík

Department of Inorganic Chemistry, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia

(Received 28 July 1994; accepted 10 February 1995)

Abstract

The structure of piperazine-1,4-diium piperazin-1-ium metavanadate trihydrate, $(C_4H_{11}N_2)(C_4H_{12}N_2)V_3O_9$.-3H₂O, is orthorhombic, space group *Pbca*, and contains infinite $(V_3O_9^{3-})_n$ chains running along the *b* axis of the unit cell. These chains form layers running parallel to the (100) plane. The protonated piperazine molecules and three molecules of water are located between the $(V_3O_9^{3-})_n$ chains, forming hydrogen bonds which contribute to the stabilization of the structure.

Comment

The common feature of the structures of the hydrated metavanadates that have been determined so far is the presence of chains formed by the linking of VO₅ polyhedra, as found in KVO₃.H₂O (Christ, Clark & Evans, 1954), Ca(VO₃)₂.2H₂O (Kelsey & Barnes, 1960), Ca(VO₃)₂.4H₂O (Ahmed & Barnes, 1963), Sr(VO₃)₂.4H₂O (Sedlacek & Dornberger-Schiff, 1965), Cd(VO₃)₂.4H₂O (Ulická, 1988) and Co(VO₃)₂.4H₂O (Avtamonova, Trunov & Bezrukov, 1990). The structures of Ba(VO₃)₂.2H₂O (Ulická, Pavelčík & Huml, 1987), Co(VO₃)₂.2H₂O (Murashova, Velikodnii, Potapov & Trunov, 1988) and Cu(VO₃)₂.

 $2H_2O$ (Leblanc & Ferey, 1989) contain tetrahedrally coordinated V atoms. We describe here the structure of piperazine metavanadate trihydrate, (I).



The solution of the structure of piperazine metavanadate trihydrate shows that the asymmetric unit of the crystal structure consists of a $V_3O_9^{3-}$ unit, which is part of a polymeric anion, two piperazine cations unequally protonated (one cation is doubly protonated and the other is singly protonated) and three molecules of water. The metavanadate anions are infinite chains of VO₄ corner-sharing tetrahedra with each V atom in the centre of a tetrahedron formed by four O atoms. The V-O distances, 1.600(4)–1.796(4)Å, are within the range observed in other metavanadate anions. The O-V-O angles are in the range 107.5(2)-111.5(2)°, and give no indication of any strong distortion of the tetrahedral environment. The C—N distances in the piperazine cations are within the range observed in the cations in ethylenediammonium vanadate (Bensch, Hug, Reller & Oswald, 1987), n-hexylammonium metavanadate (Roman, Aranzabe, Luque & Gutiérrez-Zorrilla, 1991) and 3-aza-1,5-pentamethylenediammonium metavanadate (Roman,



Fig. 1. A fragment of the structure of the title compound showing hydrogen-bonding contacts. Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level. [Symmetry codes: (i) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, 1 - y, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; (ii) $\frac{1}{2} - x$, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, z.]

Acta Crystallographica Section C ISSN 0108-2701 ©1995